

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 09/980,651 01/24/2002 Tetsuya Tsunekawa 1319-01 7108 **EXAMINER** 35811 05/27/2004 IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP SIMONE, CATHERINE A ONE LIBERTY PLACE, SUITE 4900 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1650 MARKET ST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 1772

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/980,651	TSUNEKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Catherine Simone	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2004.		
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inf	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>-</u>

Application/Control Number: 09/980,651

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

1. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 3-10 of record in Paper mailed 12/1/03, Pages 2-4, Paragraph #5 has been withdrawn due to the Applicant's response filed 3/17/04.

Repeated Rejections

2. The 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 1 and 3-10 as anticipated by Tsunekawa et al. is repeated for the reasons previously of record in Paper mailed 12/1/03, Pages 5-6, Paragraph #7.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that "the language in column 15 actually does not apply to the film, but instead, applies to the guide pin utilized in the high speed abrasion resistance test."

Applicants further argue that "It can be seen that the terms enclosed within the parentheses in both locations of that above sentence refers to the language immediately in front of the parentheses. Thus, the "running speed 250m/min... running tension 90g" is a descriptor of the tape runability tester. Similarly, the surface roughness language within the parentheses is a descriptor of the guide pin. The surface roughness does not apply to the half inch wide tape."

However, it is to be pointed out in the Tsunekawa et al. reference that the film is taught to have a surface roughness (see col. 14, lines 29-35) and it is inherently in the range of 10 nm to 140 nm

Application/Control Number: 09/980,651

Art Unit: 1772

and Tsunekawa et al. inherently teaches an elongation at break in a machine direction of 70% to 150%, since the film of Tsunekawa et al. is a biaxially oriented polyester film consisting of the same components, ethylene terephthalate and polyether imide (see col. 3, lines 25-30), and has a glass transition temperature of 105 to 145°C (see col. 35, lines 53-55), which is the same to that of the film being claimed in the present invention. Therefore, Tsunekawa et al. teaches that which is being claimed in the present invention. Thus, the claims fail to patentably define over the Tsunekawa et al. reference.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/980;651

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine Simone

Examiner Art Unit 1772

May 19, 2004

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER